

**CS204**

## Fall 2017, Assignment #1

**Problem 1.**

2 + 3 pts

Let the statement  $S_n =$  “Exactly  $n$  statements of the set  $\{S_1, \dots, S_{101}\}$  are true”.

- What statements are true/false? Or is there a contradiction?
- Replace  $S_n =$  “At most  $n$  statements of the set  $\{S_1, \dots, S_{101}\}$  are true”. What happens? Find meaningful results and explain.

**Problem 2.**

3 + 4 pts

Recall the definition of the operator **NAND** denoted as  $|$ . You can see them on Rosen Exercise 1.3. Write corresponding propositions using  $p, q, r$  for given truth table

p	0	0	0	0	1	1	1	1
q	0	0	1	1	0	0	1	1
r	0	1	0	1	0	1	0	1
?	1	0	1	1	0	1	0	1

using

- only binary **AND**, **OR**, unary **NOT** operators,
- only binary **NAND** operators.

Use operators as minimal as possible.

**Problem 3.**

3 + 3 + 2 + 2 + 3 pts

Recall the Example 16 on the Chapter 1.5. It shows how to make a proposition which is equivalent to  $\nexists \lim_{x \rightarrow a} f(x)$  where  $f : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ .

- Then what is the proposition which corresponds to “For all  $a \in \mathbb{R}$ , there exists  $L$  s.t.  $\lim_{x \rightarrow a} f(x) = L$ ”? Find at least 3 equivalent propositions.
- Real function  $f$  is called *continuous* if for every  $x \in \mathbb{R}$  and  $\epsilon > 0$ , there exists  $\delta > 0$  such that for every  $y$  satisfying  $|x - y| < \delta$ ,  $|f(x) - f(y)| < \epsilon$ . Make at least 3 propositions that is equivalent to the definition of *continuous*.
- Real function  $f$  is called *uniformly continuous* if the decision of  $\delta$  only depends on  $\epsilon$ , not on  $x$ . What changes from b)? Make at least 2 propositions that is equivalent to the definition of *uniformly continuous*.
- Suggest a real function that is *continuous* but not *uniformly continuous*.
- Suggest a real function that is not *continuous* on infinitely many points but the limit exists on every point  $x \in \mathbb{R}$ .

For a), b), c), don't abuse like just using double negation( $\neg\neg$ ) naively, change order of same quantifiers( $\forall x \forall y P \Leftrightarrow \forall y \forall x P$ ), etc.